

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/501,329 | 02/02/2005 | Yoshinobu Honkura | 256220US2PCT | 9825 |
| 22850 | 7590 03/27/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | AURORA, REENA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | • |

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| (b) |
|-----|
|-----|

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/501,329 | HONKURA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Reena Aurora | 2862 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan | action is non-final. | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/501,329 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 04/27/05 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the IDS should be filed on form PTO 1449. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is improper to mention claims in specification. Applicant is suggested to remove the claim numbers from the specification for example in pages 3 - 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/501,329

Art Unit: 2862

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 - 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Honkura et al. (6,831,457).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claim 1, Honkura et al. (hereinafter Honkura) discloses a magnetic sensor having a magneto impedance sensor element with electromagnetic coil comprised of: a terminal board (1, fig. 1 and 2) on which an extended groove (111) extending in one direction has been formed; and an electromagnetic coil (3), made with one part of the coil formed spirally inside said extended groove (111) on said terminal board, and joined to each tip of that part the other part of the coil (31, 32) placed across the top of the groove so that together the two parts and form a complete spiral; and insulating material (4) placed in the extended groove (111) on said terminal board (1); and a magnetic sensitive body (2) inserted within said insulating material (4), to which either high frequency or pulse electric current is applied (col. 3, lines 21 - 27); and wherein, when either high frequency or pulse electrical current is applied to said

Application/Control Number: 10/501,329

Art Unit: 2862

magnetic sensitive body, voltage is output from said electromagnetic coil (3) in response to the intensity of the external magnetic field generated in said electromagnetic coil (col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5).

As to claims 2, 6 and 7, Honkura discloses that the magnetic sensitive body is made from of conductive magnetic amorphous metal wire (3, col. 3, lines 29 - 31).

As to claims 3 and 8, Honkura discloses that the inner coil diameter of said electromagnetic coil is less than 100 or 200 micrometers (col. 3, lines 32 - 37).

As to claims 4 and 9, Honkura discloses that the electromagnetic coil has a line spacing separation per turn of less than 50 or 100 micrometers (col. 3, lines 38 - 39).

As to claim 5, Honkura discloses that the length of said magnetic sensitive body has been set at less than 3 mm (col. 2, lines 13 – 19 and col. 3, lines 28 - 29).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reena Aurora whose telephone number is 571-272-2263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, E. Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,329

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Reeña Aurora